

Mrs. Rita Lydig, Another Paris Divorcee. This Noted Painting of Her by Boldini Helped to Establish Her as a Parisian Resident.

sition if the judge so orders, thus insur-ing perfect secrecy and the minimum of distress and discomfort to a woman plain-tiff. If the judge is satisfied that the plain-tiff is entitled to a divorce, he grants an interlocutory judgment, as in England and in some American States. This is for six months, at the end of which time it may be made absolute. The judgment is promul-gated so quietly that in several cases of American applicants it has escaped public knowledge for many months after it was

The grounds for divorce in France are not dissimilar to those of Nevada, where "craelty" is listed as a cause and has been construed by judges to cover such offenses as speaking unsympathetically to a wife or not speaking to her often enough. The serious grounds of divorce in France

The serious grounds of divorce in France are infidelity and conviction of an infamous crime. The lighter grounds are classed together under Article 231 of the France, lived there for many years, has (C) 1920, International Feature Service, Inc.

merly Margaret Rutherfurd, who is a daughter of Mrs. W. K. Vanderbilt by a previous marriage. Mrs. Mills is a great provious marriage. Mrs. Mills is a great beauty, of very distinguished type, with fine features and a statuesque figure. She was only married in 1911 to Mr. Mills, a grandson of the multi-millionaire. D. O. Mills, and a son of Mrs. Ogden Mills, who is noted for her exclusiveness in New York society.

Young Mrs. Mills went to Paris only in March, and ! . May she admitted that she had obtained a divorce. She declined "to give details, because her mother, Mrs. give defails, because her mother, Mrs. Vanderbilt, might not like it," and would not give the name of her lawyer. For a time it was impossible to ascertain in what court she had sought her divorce, on what ground she had asked it, or any of the facts that are necessarily made public. the facts that are necessarily made public

living apart, but did not learn for months that a divorce had been granted. Great Britain Rights Reserved

this statement.

How, When or Why.

spent a large part of every year there and was married from the Chateau de Quesnay, her stepfather's residence, near Paris. There is, therefore, ground for calling her a resident of France. On the other hand, her husband,

Ogden L. Mills. appears to be a resident of America, for he was until recently a State Senator in the New York

Legislature, and has since then been acting as treasurer of the Republican County Committee in New York.

Even more surprising was the divorce of Mrs. Leonard Thomas, who was the beautiful Blanche Oelrichs, from

her husband, who inherited his wealth from the great Drexel banking firm of Philadelphia. In April Mrs. Thomas admitted she had obtained a divorce in Paris and made

granted many, many months ago."

American society had known that the Thomases were

Yes, it is true we are divorced. But the divorce was

Mrs. Leonard Thomas, the Lovely Society Woman, Poet and Suffragist, Who Obtained a Divorce in Paris "Many Months" Before New

York Heard of It. The divorce obtained in Paris by Mrs. Joseph A. Blake, formerly Mrs. Clarnce Mackay to have served as a fruitful hint to other American women desiring freedom. When Mrs. Mackay first became estranged from her multi-millionaire husband in America she was threatened with all kinds of unpleasant complications. Mrs. Catherine Ketchum Blake instituted a \$1,000,000 damage suit against Mrs. Mackay for alienating the affections of Mrs. Blake's husband. Dr. Joseph A. Blake, the famous surgeon. Although

the suit was withdrawn the proceedings caused Mrs. Mackay much annoyance and distress.

Mrs. Mackay then established a restdence in Maine and society understood that she was going to sue for a divorce there, but this course evidently presented some inconveniences. Quite unexpectedly she moved to Paris, and within a few

months obtained her divorce there.

It is not to be expected that all French divorces will pass without question by children, heirs and other persons con-cerned. Mrs. Frank J. Gould (who was the actress, Edith Kelly) has already raised the question. She has brought suit for divorce in America against her husband, despite the fact that he had obtained a divorce in France.

Mrs. Gould asks her divorce in this country on the ground that she and her hus-band were not domiciled in France when the divorce was obtained, and that it was stated in the decree that her husband's domicile was Tarrytown, N. Y. Consequently, she alleges, the French courts could not have had jurisdiction.

When inquiry was made of a prominent New York, lawyer who has had much ex-perience with French law, as to the valid-

ity of divorces obtained by American citizens in France, he said:
"It is impossible to make a general and dependable statement on that point. I un-derstand that if a French divorce has fulfilled the essential conditions required by the New York courts it will be held as valid in New York as one obtained in another American State."